

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

. APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,972	02/05/2004	Harry S. Edelman	169.12-0619	5211	
164 7590 01/19/2007 KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.			EXAMINER		
THE KINNEY & I	LANGE BUILDING		EVANS, JEI	EVANS, JEFFERSON A	
312 SOUTH THIR MINNEAPOLIS, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· ·			2627		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
2 MONITE		01/19/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
s	10/772,972	EDELMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jefferson A. Evans	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		~			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-27 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,8,20 and 24-27 is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-6, 9-14, 17-19, and 21-23 is/are 7) Claim(s) 11 and 14-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re withdrawn from consideration. e rejected. relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/772,972

Art Unit: 2627

Claims 1, 2, and 4-27 are pending.

Claims 7, 8, 20, and 24-27 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 11, 14, and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: at claim 11 – line 3, "the" should be changed to -- a -- before substrate. Claim 14 – line 1 and claim 15 – line 1, "and further comprising a substrate" should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhu (U.S. 6,870,706). Zhu discloses a transducing head (figure 1) including a reader (2) and a writer (4) with insulating material (12) therebetween. Zhu discloses that the writer is electrically connected to a substrate in the form of a slider (note column 7 lines 23 and 24, and lines 38 to 41) to be grounded. The substrate is conductive (column 8 line 6). The writer being connected to ground will mean that the functional language set forth in claim 10 will be met.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,972

Art Unit: 2627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6, 11-14, 17-19, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu. Zhu discloses providing a resistor between the reader and the substrate (column 8 lines 56 to 63) but does not expressly disclose that a resistor is between the writer and the substrate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a resistor between the writer and substrate of Zhu. The motivation would have been: advantages of having such a resistor between the reader and substrate, such as enabling control of the current along the conductive path between the reader and the substrate, would also have been wholly applicable and advantageous when applied between the writer and the substrate.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu in view of Hirano et al (U.S. 6,853,517) and/or Hanchi et al (U.S. 6,967,805). Zhu does not appear to expressly disclose that his disk is grounded.

Both Hirano (column 1 – lines 55 to 60) and Hanchi (column 3 – lines 10 and 11) teach that both slider and disk should be grounded.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,972

Art Unit: 2627

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have both the disk and slider of Zhu grounded. The motivation would have been: to do so was common in the art to keep the disk and slider at the same potential and thereby reduce the chance of electrostatic discharge damaging the head and/or the disk.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferson A. Evans whose telephone number is 571-272-7574. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Thi Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tela a

JAE

January 18, 2007

Jefferson A. Evans Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

> JEFFERSON EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER